Certification of Matrix Interpretations in Coq

Adam Koprowski and Hans Zantema

Eindhoven University of Technology
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

29 June 2007
WST

technische universiteit eindhoven

Outline

1. CoLoR
2. Formalization of matrix interpretations
   - Introduction to matrix interpretations
   - Monotone algebras
   - Matrices
   - Matrix interpretations
3. Certified competition

Outline

1. CoLoR

2. Formalization of matrix interpretations
   - Introduction to matrix interpretations
   - Monotone algebras
   - Matrices
   - Matrix interpretations

3. Certified competition

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR overview

CoLoR

CoLoR: Coq Library on Rewriting and Termination.
Goal: certification of termination proofs produced by various termination provers.

How to do that? CoLoR approach:

- TPG: common format for termination proofs.
- Tools output proofs in TPG format.
- CoLoR: a Coq library of results on termination.
- Rainbow: a tool for translation from proofs in TPG format to Coq proofs, using results from CoLoR.

CoLoR architecture overview



CoLoR architecture overview



CoLoR architecture overview



Outline

1. CoLoR

2. Formalization of matrix interpretations
   - Introduction to matrix interpretations
   - Monotone algebras
   - Matrices
   - Matrix interpretations

3. Certified competition

Example

z086.trs

$$a(a(x)) \to c(b(x)), \quad b(b(x)) \to c(a(x)), \quad c(c(x)) \to b(a(x))$$

Matrix interpretation for z086.trs

$$a(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example

z086.trs

$a(a(x)) \to c(b(x)), \quad b(b(x)) \to c(a(x)), \quad c(c(x)) \to b(a(x))$

Matrix interpretation for z086.trs

$$a(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example ctd.

Termination proof for z086.trs

$$a(a(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c(b(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Example ctd.

Termination proof for z086.trs

$$a(a(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c(b(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Monotone algebras

Definition (An extended weakly monotone Σ-algebra)

A *weakly monotone* Σ*-algebra* $(A, [\cdot], >, \gtrsim)$ is a Σ-algebra $(A, [\cdot])$ equipped with two binary relations $>$, $\gtrsim$ on A such that:

- $>$ is well-founded;
- $> \cdot \gtrsim \subseteq >$;
- for every $f \in \Sigma$ the operation $[f]$ is monotone with respect to $>$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ be TRSs over a signature Σ, $(A, [\cdot], >, \gtrsim)$ be an extended monotone Σ-algebra such that:

- $[\ell, \alpha] \gtrsim [r, \alpha]$ *for every rule* $\ell \to r$ *in* $\mathcal{R}$*, for all* $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \to A$ *and*
- $[\ell, \alpha] > [r, \alpha]$ *for every rule* $\ell \to r$ *in* $\mathcal{R}'$ *and for all* $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \to A$.

Then $\mathrm{SN}(\mathcal{R})$ *implies* $\mathrm{SN}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}')$.

Monotone algebras

Definition (An extended weakly monotone Σ-algebra)

A *weakly monotone Σ-algebra* $(A, [\cdot], >, \gtrsim)$ is a Σ-algebra $(A, [\cdot])$ equipped with two binary relations $>$, $\gtrsim$ on A such that:

- $>$ is well-founded;
- $> \cdot \gtrsim \subseteq >$;
- for every $f \in \Sigma$ the operation $[f]$ is monotone with respect to $>$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ be TRSs over a signature Σ, $(A, [\cdot], >, \gtrsim)$ be an extended monotone Σ-algebra such that:

- $[\ell, \alpha] \gtrsim [r, \alpha]$ *for every rule* $\ell \to r$ *in* $\mathcal{R}$, *for all* $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \to A$ *and*
- $[\ell, \alpha] > [r, \alpha]$ *for every rule* $\ell \to r$ *in* $\mathcal{R}'$ *and for all* $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \to A$.

Then $\mathrm{SN}(\mathcal{R})$ *implies* $\mathrm{SN}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}')$.

Formalization of monotone algebras

- Monotone algebras are formalized as a functor.
- Apart for the aforementioned requirements there is one additional required to deal with concrete examples: $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ must be decidable.
- More precisely the requirement is to provide a relation $\gg$, such that
  - $\gg \subseteq >_{\mathcal{T}}$ and
  - $\gg$ is decidable
  - similarly for $\gtrsim$.
- The structure returned by the functor contains all the machinery required to prove (relative)-(top)-termination in Coq.

Formalization of monotone algebras

- Monotone algebras are formalized as a functor.
- Apart for the aforementioned requirements there is one additional required to deal with concrete examples: $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ must be decidable.
- More precisely the requirement is to provide a relation $\gg$, such that
  - $\gg \subseteq >_{\mathcal{T}}$ and
  - $\gg$ is decidable
  - similarly for $\gtrsim$.
- The structure returned by the functor contains all the machinery required to prove (relative)-(top)-termination in Coq.

Formalization of monotone algebras

- Monotone algebras are formalized as a functor.
- Apart for the aforementioned requirements there is one additional required to deal with concrete examples: $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ must be decidable.
- More precisely the requirement is to provide a relation $\gg$, such that
  - $\gg \subseteq >_{\mathcal{T}}$ and
  - $\gg$ is decidable
  - similarly for $\gtrsim$.
- The structure returned by the functor contains all the machinery required to prove (relative)-(top)-termination in Coq.

Formalization of monotone algebras

- Monotone algebras are formalized as a functor.
- Apart for the aforementioned requirements there is one additional required to deal with concrete examples: $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ must be decidable.
- More precisely the requirement is to provide a relation $\gg$, such that
  - $\gg \subseteq >_{\mathcal{T}}$ and
  - $\gg$ is decidable
  - similarly for $\gtrsim$.
- The structure returned by the functor contains all the machinery required to prove (relative)-(top)-termination in Coq.

Formalization of matrices

- Matrices over arbitrary semi-ring of coefficients.
- a number of basic operations over matrices such as:

$$[\cdot], \quad M_{i,j}, \quad M + N, \quad M * N, \quad M^T, \ \ldots$$

- and a number of basic properties such as:
  - $M + N = N + M$,
  - $M * (N * P) = (M * N) * P$
  - monotonicity of $*$
  - $\ldots$

Formalization of matrices

- Matrices over arbitrary semi-ring of coefficients.
- a number of basic operations over matrices such as:

$$[\cdot], \quad M_{i,j}, \quad M + N, \quad M * N, \quad M^T, \ \ldots$$

- and a number of basic properties such as:
  - $M + N = N + M$,
  - $M * (N * P) = (M * N) * P$
  - monotonicity of $*$
  - …

Formalization of matrices

- Matrices over arbitrary semi-ring of coefficients.
- a number of basic operations over matrices such as:

$$[\cdot], \quad M_{i,j}, \quad M + N, \quad M * N, \quad M^T, \ \ldots$$

- and a number of basic properties such as:
  - $M + N = N + M$,
  - $M * (N * P) = (M * N) * P$
  - monotonicity of $*$
  - $\ldots$

Polynomial interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- $A = \mathbb{Z}$,
- $> = >_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\gtrsim = \geq_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
- interpretations represented by polynomials $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = P_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ not decidable (positiveness of polynomial) — heuristics required.

Polynomial interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- $A = \mathbb{Z}$,
- $> \; = \; >_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\gtrsim = \geq_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
- interpretations represented by polynomials $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = P_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ not decidable (positiveness of polynomial) — heuristics required.

Polynomial interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- $A = \mathbb{Z}$,
- $> \, = \, >_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\gtrsim = \geq_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
- interpretations represented by polynomials $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = P_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ not decidable (positiveness of polynomial) — heuristics required.

Polynomial interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- $A = \mathbb{Z}$,
- $> \, = \, >_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\gtrsim = \geq_{\mathbb{Z}}$,
- interpretations represented by polynomials $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = P_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ not decidable (positiveness of polynomial) — heuristics required.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

Matrix interpretations in the setting of monotone algebras

- fix a dimension d,
- $A = \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $\forall i, u_i \geq_{\mathbb{N}} v_i$,
- $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) > (v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ iff $(u_1, \ldots, u_d) \gtrsim (v_1, \ldots, v_d) \wedge u_1 >_{\mathbb{N}} v_1$,
- interpretations represented as:
  $[f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = M_1 x_1 + \ldots + M_n x_n + v$
  where $M_i \in \mathbb{N}^{d \times d}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$,
- $>_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\gtrsim_{\mathcal{T}}$ are decidable in this case but thanks to introducing $\gg$ we do not need to prove completeness of their characterization.
- Domain fixed to $\mathbb{N}$ with natural orders $>$ and $\geq$.

TU/e technische universiteit eindhoven

Outline

Certified competition

- In the termination competition this year a new "certified" category was introduced.
- Participants:
  - CiME+ A3PAT (polynomial interpretations, LPO, DP)
  - TPA+ CoLoR (polynomial and matrix interpretations, DP)
  - $\mathrm{T_TT_2}$ + CoLoR (matrix interpretations, DP)
- TPA+ CoLoR was the winner with the score of 354.
- Every successful proof of TPA was using matrix interpretations.

Certified competition

- In the termination competition this year a new “certified” category was introduced.
- Participants:
  - CiME+ A3PAT (polynomial interpretations, LPO, DP)
  - TPA+ CoLoR (polynomial and matrix interpretations, DP)
  - $\mathrm{T_TT_2}$ + CoLoR (matrix interpretations, DP)
- TPA+ CoLoR was the winner with the score of 354.
- Every successful proof of TPA was using matrix interpretations.

Certified competition

- In the termination competition this year a new "certified" category was introduced.
- Participants:
  - CiME+ A3PAT (polynomial interpretations, LPO, DP)
  - TPA+ CoLoR (polynomial and matrix interpretations, DP)
  - $\mathrm{T_TT_2}$ + CoLoR (matrix interpretations, DP)
- TPA+ CoLoR was the winner with the score of 354.
- Every successful proof of TPA was using matrix interpretations.

Certified competition

- In the termination competition this year a new “certified” category was introduced.
- Participants:
  - CiME+ A3PAT (polynomial interpretations, LPO, DP)
  - TPA+ CoLoR (polynomial and matrix interpretations, DP)
  - $\mathrm{T_TT_2}$ + CoLoR (matrix interpretations, DP)
- TPA+ CoLoR was the winner with the score of 354.
- Every successful proof of TPA was using matrix interpretations.

The end

http://color.loria.fr



Thank you for your attention.